

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,995	07/11/2003	Ilan Calderon	1311OBT-US	2778
7590 03/31/2006		EXAMINER		
Dekel Patent Ltd.			NGUYEN, HUONG Q	
Beit HaRofim				
Room 27			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
18 Menuha VeNahala Street			3736	
Rehovot,	·			•
ISRAEL			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/616,995	CALDERON ET AL.			
		Examiner .	Art Unit			
		Helen Nguyen	3736			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHIO - Extending - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 February 2006.					
2a) <u></u>		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2003 is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)⊠ objected	d to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	lication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	` ' ' '				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re-	ceived.			
	,					
Attachmer	et(s)					
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	, 	nmary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings of **Figure 2** are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they appear to fail to clearly show the three-dimensional image of muscle contraction as sensed by the claimed position sensing system, as described on p.5 of the specification. In addition, the inclusion of element numbers would enhance said objected drawing. Applicant is reminded that no new matter may be added while overcoming this drawing objection. Examiner notes that said drawing objection is at least in part due to the 112 rejections described in further detail below.
- 2. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by

Art Unit: 3736

the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the last sentence of the second paragraph on p.5 of the specification ("Gal, Aviram...") should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 6. The disclosure fails to describe or teach one of ordinary skill in the art how the claimed processor operative to process data of the claimed EMG system and the claimed three-dimensional position and orientation information from the at least one position sensor would provide an output that comprises electromyographic activity data as a function of the three-dimensional position and orientation of said at least one position

Application/Control Number: 10/616,995

Art Unit: 3736

sensor. The disclosure fails to describe or teach one of ordinary skill in the art exactly what is meant by electromyographic activity data as "a function of" three-dimensional position and orientation of the at least one position sensor.

- 7. Examiner notes that said subject matter is only mentioned on p.2 and p.4 (last paragraph) of the specification, using the same language as that recited in the claims.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, also evidenced by the enablement problem associated with the disclosure.
- 10. Specifically, one of ordinary skill in the art is not able to clearly point out and distinctly identify how the claimed processor operative to process data of the claimed EMG system and the claimed three-dimensional position and orientation information from the at least one position sensor would provide an output that comprises electromyographic activity data as a function of the three-dimensional position and orientation of said at least one position sensor. Thus, the claim is indefinite because it fails to distinctly point out to one of ordinary skill in the art exactly what is meant by electromyographic activity data as "a function" of three-dimensional position and orientation of the at least one position sensor.
- 11. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/616,995

Art Unit: 3736

regards as the invention. Claim 6 does not seem to be amended properly to depend from Claim 5. Rather, it now appears that Claim 6 is dependent upon Claim 15, which does not exist, rendering Claim 6 indefinite. Appropriate correction is required.

12. Applicant is reminded that no new matter may be added in correcting the above 112 rejections.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 1-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. No prior art teaches alone or in combination data output that comprises electromyographic activity data as a function of the three-dimensional position and orientation of at least one position sensor.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments, see p.4-5 of applicant's argument, filed 2/16/2006, with respect to **Claim 1** have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection of **Claims 1-7** has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-8340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,995

Art Unit: 3736

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HQN 3/23/2006

MENNDENZORG MENNDENZORG MENNENT EXAMINER